

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/047,383	10/047,383 01/14/2002		Louis Michael Crowe	660057-2010	5507
20999	7590	08/09/2005		EXAMINER	
FROMMER		ENCE & HAUG	EVANISKO, GEORGE ROBERT		
	NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	-,			3762	

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

TW	h
----	---

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/047,383	CROWE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	George R. Evanisko	3762					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ju	<u>ine 2005</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
,—	•—						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	٠.						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-132</u> is/are pending in the application	n.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) See Continuation Sh	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-10, 15-29, 42-44, 56, 57, 67-76, 81-95, 108-110, 122, 123</u> is/are rejected.						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1O-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>							
2. Certified copies of the priority document							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		-d					
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the defining dopies not receive						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims withdrawn from consideration are 11-14,30-41,45-55,58-66,77-80,96-107,111-121 and 124-132.

Art Unit: 3762

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Claims 11-14, 30-41, 45-55, 58-66, 77-80, 96-107, 111-121, and 124-132 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to non-elected embodiments, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 1/10/05.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-10, 15-24, 26-29, and 42-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Minogue et al (WO 00/41764). Minogue states that his device is used to stimulate/contract the muscles (abstract and throughout the specification), uses electrodes sized from 80 to 120 mm by 50 to 150 mm (page 24) and delivers pulses using parameters of 50-1000 microseconds pulse duration, 1-200 Hz, and 0-100 mA (page 25) and pulses where the amplitudes are different (figure 18) and therefore is capable of meeting the functional use recitations in the claims of "so as to induce a shivering phenomenon" and generating a cardiovascular response greater than 50-70% since Minogue uses the exact same pulse parameters and electrode sizes as the applicant to cause the muscle to contract. Also, since the pulses are delivered through the same sized electrodes and contain the same pulse parameters, the charge delivered by the Minogue will be the same and meet the claimed charge limitations. In addition, it is noted that the

Art Unit: 3762

applicant's specification states on page 3, that the "shivering also encompasses all such muscle movements, irrespective of frequency or intensity, as long as designed to burn calories in a subject".

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 67-76, 81-90, 92-95, and 108-110 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Minougue et al. Minougue provides and uses the claimed pulse parameters and electrode sizes in the claimed ranges (as seen above) and therefore meets the claimed limitations.

In the alternative, Minougue discloses the claimed invention and that the pulses and electrodes can be varied to fit the patient (pages 24, 25, and 32) except for applying the pulses and electrodes at the specific ranges, such as from 4-8 Hz, 0.1 mA/mm<sup>2</sup>, 140

Art Unit: 3762

mm, etc to maximize the stimulation of the bulk of the muscle. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the muscle stimulation system and method as taught by Minougue, with the specific pulse and electrode parameters since it was known in the art that muscle stimulation systems and methods use those particular pulse parameters, such as 4-8 Hz, 0.1 mA/mm², 140 mm, etc., to effectively stimulate and contract the muscles for therapy. In addition, Minogue provides a clear suggestion that the pulse parameters and electrodes can be modified to vary the parameters and electrodes to fit the patient and muscle being stimulated. The determination of the most appropriate pulse parameters and electrode size by routine experimentation would, therefore, be prima facie obvious to one having ordinary skill in the medical art.

Claims 25 and 91 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Minogue et al. Minogue states on page 32 that electrodes can be used on different muscles such as leg muscles and discloses the electrode sizes can be varied on page 24, but does not disclose the length being 190 mm. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the electrode size in the muscle stimulation system as taught by Minougue, with the length of the electrode being 190 mm since it was known in the art that muscle stimulation systems provide longer electrodes, such as being of the length of at least 190 mm, to efficiently stimulate the longer muscles to cause them to contract without causing pain or burning due to the electrical pulse. In addition, Minogue provides a clear suggestion that the electrodes can be modified to vary electrodes to fit the patient and muscle being stimulated. The determination of the most appropriate electrode size by routine

Art Unit: 3762

experimentation would, therefore, be prima facie obvious to one having ordinary skill in the medical art.

Claims 56, 57, 122, and 123 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Minogue et al. Minogue discloses the claimed invention except for the monitor for monitoring a physiological parameter such as heart rate and a feedback mechanism for controlling the signal generator based on the output of the monitor. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system and method of stimulation as taught by Minogue, with a monitor for monitoring a physiological parameter such as heart rate and a feedback mechanism for controlling the signal generator based on the output of the monitor since it was known in the art that stimulation systems and methods use a monitor for monitoring a physiological parameter such as heart rate and a feedback mechanism for controlling the signal generator based on the output of the monitor to provide feedback to the signal generator to allow the stimulation to be applied within physiological limits and at a safe level to the patient so the patient does not overexert himself or go outside of the physiological limits.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/13/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Minogue uses the <u>exact</u> same pulse parameters and electrode sizes as in the applicants claims. Although Minogue may not use the term "shivering", "cardiovascular training" or "contractions occurring at 3-12 Hz", Minogue does (inherently and/or is capable of) perform these functions since he uses the same pulse parameters and

Art Unit: 3762

electrodes as stated in the applicant's specification and/or claims. Also, it is noted that claim 42 does not state that "significant" calories are burned.

The argument that the "muscle movements" on page 3 of the specification refers to "shivering" muscle movements is not persuasive since that particular paragraph does not state "shivering" muscle movements, but only "muscle movements" and <u>all</u> such muscle movements, <u>irrespective of frequency or intensity</u>, which Minogue meets. In addition, it does not matter if the sentence on page 3 did refer to "shivering" muscle movements since Minogue inherently accomplishes shivering since he uses the exact same pulse parameters and electrodes as the applicant.

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George R. Evanisko whose telephone number is 571 272 4945. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-5:00.

Art Unit: 3762

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 571 272 4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

1.

George R Evanisko Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762

81515

GRE August 5, 2005